

Quantum computing in a Spin ordered phase

F. de Pasquale ^{a,b} and S.M. Giampaolo ^a

^a *Departement of Physics University of Rome "La Sapienza", P-le A.Moro 5, 00185 Rome Italy*

^b *INFN section of Rome*

(Feb. 01 2001)

Abstract

We show that quantum computation can be performed in a system at thermal equilibrium if a spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs. The computing process is associated to the time evolution of the statistical average of the qubit coherence operator. This average defines logical states which evolve under the action of impulsive gate perturbations. Non trivial quantum coherence properties appear in the ordered phase characterized by a spontaneous symmetry breaking. Qubits are associated to spins and interaction with the lattice vibrations is approximated by an effective spin-spin interaction. A finite decoherence time is obtained only if spin correlations are taken into account in the framework of the Ising model as corrections to the Mean Field approximation. Decoherence is slowed down as the order parameter saturates.

In the quantum computing process [1] information is stored in qubits i.e. in a quantum state of a two levels system. Coupling with the environment produces an “entanglement” of the qubits with the degrees of freedom of the environment. This “entanglement” is the origin of a progressive loss of information, a process known as decoherence. Hence, systems at thermal equilibrium have not been considered suitable for a computing process. We show that quantum computation is however possible even in thermal equilibrium in presence of a spontaneous symmetry breaking. Logical states of computer will be associated to suitable thermal averages. The 0-logical state is associated to thermal equilibrium and 1-logical state to local excitation determined by an impulsive perturbation. Decoherence is then associated to the local excitation relaxation toward equilibrium. We shall see on a specific model that relaxation vanishes in the Mean Field Approximation (MFA) and is slowed down as magnetization saturates when corrections to MFA are taken into account.

For sake of simplicity we work with one of the most simple system which exhibits symmetry breaking: the Ising model $H = -\sum_{i,j} J_{i,j} S_i^z S_j^z$. This model is related to the more familiar spin - boson model [2] where spins interact with environment vibrations. If up and down local spin states are degenerated in energy, lattice degrees of freedom can be eliminated by a canonical transformation. Ising model is then obtained as an approximation if lattice modes polarized in the spin quantization direction have smaller frequencies than perpendicular ones. Another physical realization is given by quantum dots interacting with the oscillation modes of the substrate. In this case the two states ($|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$) can be associated to the presence or absence of an extra electron. In Coulomb blockade condition, i.e. when the two states are degenerated in energy, the coupling of quantum dots with phonons gives rise to an effective interaction between spins equivalent to the Ising model. Another possible physical realization of this model is a system of bosonic neutral atoms localized in the sites of a lattice interacting with repulsive interaction. Possible realization of such a system in an optical lattice has been discussed in [3–5].

We define the i -th qubit as the state $|\theta_i, \phi_i\rangle = \cos(\frac{\theta_i}{2})|\uparrow_i\rangle + e^{i\phi_i} \sin(\frac{\theta_i}{2})|\downarrow_i\rangle$ associated to the corresponding site of a regular array ($i=0,\dots,N-1$). The local quantum coherence

operator is the projection operator on a given qubit state.

$$\pi_i(\theta, \phi) = |\theta_i, \phi_i\rangle\langle\theta_i, \phi_i| \quad (1)$$

The projection operator in terms of spin operators reads as

$$\pi_i(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} + n_i S_i \quad (2)$$

where n is the unitary vector associated to the θ, ϕ direction and S is the vector spin operator. The statistical average of the quantum coherence operator is the qubit coherence probability (QCP)

$$\rho_i = \frac{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H} \pi_i)}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})} \quad (3)$$

ρ_i is the probability of finding the spin at the i -th site, in the state $|\theta_i, \phi_i\rangle$, when the system is in equilibrium with an external bath. It is immediately seen from (3) that as a consequence of the spin inversion symmetry of the Ising Hamiltonian $H(\{S_i\}) = H(\{-S_i\})$ we have $\rho_i = \frac{1}{2}$. All directions θ_i, ϕ_i are then equally probable and coherence, as expected, is completely lost at equilibrium. Thus a “symmetric” thermodynamic state is obviously useless as a reference state in a computation process. However, if a spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs below a critical temperature (T_c), we obtain a non trivial QCP. In our case spin inversion symmetry breakdown implies $m_i = \langle S_i^z \rangle \neq 0$ (angular brackets stand for thermodynamic average). As a consequence the QCP becomes

$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{2} + m_i \cos \theta_i \quad (4)$$

We see in (4) that coherence is partially preserved at equilibrium even if the dependence on the phase ϕ_i is lost. In the states space the direction $\theta_i = 0$ is the most probable and the average of any even function of θ_i does not vanish [6]. Hence, the thermodynamic state associated to the ordered spin phase is suitable as the reference state of a computing process. It is convenient to consider a reduced QCP as the difference between the ordered and disordered phase QCPs $\tilde{\rho}_i = \langle \tilde{\pi}_i \rangle = \langle \pi_i - \frac{1}{2} \rangle$. We find convenient to identify the

0-logical state (1-logical state) of the i-th site with the dependence of $\tilde{\rho}_i$ on $\cos \theta_i$ ($\sin \theta_i$). In other words different logical states are associated with different properties of a quantity related to the statistical average of the quantum coherence instead of different quantum states.

Universal quantum computer needs 1-qubit and 2-qubits gates [7,8]. The first is a rotation of the 0-logic state in a superposition of 0 and 1 logical states. For this reason we need a perturbation that modifies the local QCP introducing a term with depends on $\sin \theta_i$. The second modifies the two sites state introducing an entanglement between the two logical states. In the corresponding 2-qubits QCP a $\sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j$ will appear as a result of the perturbation acting on a two sites 0 logical initial state.

For sake of simplicity we consider only impulsive perturbations.

$$H_t = H + \epsilon \delta(t - 0^+) H_I \quad (5)$$

After the action of a gate the QCP is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_i(t) = \frac{Tr(e^{-\beta H} \tilde{\pi}_i(t))}{Tr(e^{-\beta H})} \quad (6)$$

Where $\tilde{\pi}_i(t)$ is the reduced quantum coherence operator in the Heisenberg representation.

As 1-bit gate we consider a perturbation perpendicular to the z direction acting on a given site i=0. We choose to work with

$$H_I = S_0^x \quad (7)$$

If the Ising model is associated with localized particles (quantum dots, optical lattices, etc.), the perturbation could be obtained by exchanging particles with a reservoir while in the spin case a magnetic field [9] could be used. The new logical state is characterized as

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(t) = \tilde{\rho}_0 \cos \epsilon + A_0(t) \sin \theta_0 \sin \epsilon \quad (8)$$

where $A_0(t)$ is given by

$$A_0(t) = -\sin \theta_0 < S_0^z \sin(2h_0 t + \phi_0) > \quad (9)$$

and $h_0 = \sum_j J_{0j} S_j^z$ is the internal field. The strength of the perturbation determines the amplitude of the rotation from the 0-logical state ($\epsilon = 0$) and 1-logical state ($\epsilon = \frac{\pi}{2}$). Note that only the 1-logical state component of (8) will evolve in time and then will be affected by decoherence ($A_i(t) \rightarrow 0$) while permanent modification are found in the 0-logical state amplitude. A simple oscillating behaviour is obtained in the MFA when internal field fluctuations are neglected, i.e. $h_i = \sum_j J_{ij} m_j$ and $m_i = \frac{1}{2} \tanh(\beta h_i)$. It will be shown that a finite decoherence time arises as a consequence of non vanishing spin correlations $c_{ij} = \langle S_i S_j \rangle - \langle S_i \rangle \langle S_j \rangle$ (neglected in the MFA).

The 2-qubits gate can be realized by switching on a particles transfer between two sites. A simple result is obtained in the case of sites i,j belonging to two non-interacting adjacent layers of opposite magnetization. The Quantum coherence of the 2-qubits states associated with sites i and j is conveniently characterized by the statistical average of the product of reduced two sites quantum coherence operators $\tilde{\rho}_{ij} = \langle (\pi_i - \frac{1}{2})(\pi_j - \frac{1}{2}) \rangle$. At equilibrium the two sites 0-logical states is characterized as

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ij} = \langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_j \quad (10)$$

Note that in the MFA, where spin correlation are neglected, i.e. $\langle S_i S_j \rangle = \langle S_i \rangle \langle S_j \rangle$ ($i \neq j$) the two sites reduced QCP is equal to the product of one site reduced QCP. The impulsive perturbation hamiltonian associated with sites i,j is then

$$H_I = S_i^+ S_j^- + S_j^+ S_i^- \quad (11)$$

Where i and j belong to two different non-interacting layers of opposite magnetization. In the time evolution of the reduced two sites QCP, following the impulsive perturbation we distinguish a constant term and a rotated one associated only with $|\uparrow_i, \downarrow_j\rangle$ and $|\downarrow_i, \uparrow_j\rangle$ states.

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ij}(t) = \tilde{\rho}_{ij}(0) + A_{ij} \sin(2\epsilon) \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \quad (12)$$

where A_{ij} is given by

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} < (S_i^z - S_j^z) \sin [(h_i - h_j)t + \phi_i - \phi_j] > \quad (13)$$

The impulsive local interaction produces the 1-logical state on two sites which oscillate with the interaction strenght. The peculiar superposition of 0 and 1-logical states is a consequence of the quantum entanglement induced by the interaction. In the MFA limit, the internal fields h_i and h_j become non fluctuating quantities to be determined self consistently. To evaluate decoherence corrections to MFA must be taken into account in the framework of a perturbation expansion in the interaction range [10]. If the interaction range is small with respect to the system size the relaxation toward equilibrium can be seen as a quantum diffusion process wich spreads the perturbation over the whole lattice. We use the technique ref. of [10] to calculate an auxiliary free energy functional where a single site time dependent field appears

$$G^\sigma(\lambda, t) = \ln \left\{ Tr \left[\exp \left(-\beta H + \sum_k (2i\sigma t g_k + \lambda_k) S_k^z \right) \right] \right\} \quad (14)$$

Here σ is a dicotomic quantity ($\sigma = \pm$) and $g_k = J_{0k}$ in the one site ($i=0$) gate case and $g_k = (J_{0k} - J_{1k})$ in the two sites ($i=0, j=1$) gate. In terms of this dynamical functional we can express both the one (9) and the two sites (13) QCPs variations due to one and two sites gates

$$A_0 = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma \exp(G^\sigma(t) - G^\sigma(0)) \left. \frac{\partial G^\sigma}{\partial \lambda_0} \right|_{\{\lambda_j\}=0} \quad (15)$$

$$A_{01} = \frac{i}{8} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma \exp(G^\sigma(t) - G^\sigma(0)) e^{i\sigma(\phi_0 - \phi_1)} \left(\frac{\partial G^\sigma}{\partial \lambda_0} - \frac{\partial G^\sigma}{\partial \lambda_1} \right) \Big|_{\{\lambda_j\}=0} \quad (16)$$

As a simple generalization of procedure of ref. [10], we introduce a Legendre transform at fixed time and temperature

$$F^\sigma(m, \beta, t) = G^\sigma(\lambda, \beta, t) - \sum_k \lambda_k(\beta, t) m_k \quad (17)$$

Where $m_k = \frac{\partial G^\sigma}{\partial \lambda_0}$. The dynamical functional of (17) is obtained adding an imaginary time dependent term to the static functional.

$$F^\sigma(m, \beta, t) = F(m, \beta) + \sum_k 2i\sigma t g_k \quad (18)$$

The time independent part of the (18) $F(m, \beta)$ is given in ref. [10]. It is conveniently splitted in two terms $F(m, \beta) = F_0(m) + F_1(m, \beta)$. The first term is associated to the non interacting system with fixed magnetization and the latter takes into account sites interaction.

$$F_0(m) = - \sum_k \left[(m_k + \frac{1}{2}) \ln(m_k + \frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{1}{2} - m_k) \ln(\frac{1}{2} - m_k) \right] \quad (19)$$

$$F_1(m, \beta) = +\beta \sum_{lk} J_{lk} m_l m_k + \beta^2 \sum_{lk} J_{lk}^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} - m_k^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - m_l^2 \right) + \dots \quad (20)$$

The main point is to study the extremum condition $\frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial m_k} = 0$ in the complex plane.

$$\frac{\partial F(m, \beta)}{\partial m_k} = -2i\sigma t g_k \quad (21)$$

For short time ($J_{0k}t \ll 1$) we can express the solution of the extremum problem as $m_k^\sigma(t) = m_k + \delta m_k^\sigma$ where m_k is the solution at $t = 0$. Expanding to the first order equation (21) we obtain

$$\sum_l \frac{\partial^2 F(m, \beta)}{\partial m_k \partial m_l} \delta m_l^\sigma = -2i\sigma t g_k \quad (22)$$

From (22) we see that $\delta m_k^\sigma = i t \sigma \delta \overline{m}_k$ where $\delta \overline{m}_k \propto \frac{1}{D}$ and, then, vanishes in the MFA limit.

The same expansion gives for the dynamical function of (17)

$$F^\sigma(m, \beta, t) \approx F(m, \beta) + \sum_k \left[2i\sigma t g_k m_k - t^2 \delta \overline{m}_k g_k \right] \quad (23)$$

From (22) we can derive the time dependence of $F(m)$

$$F^\sigma(m, \beta, t) \approx F(m, \beta) + \sum_k 2i\sigma t g_k m_k - \Gamma t^2 \quad (24)$$

The quantity $2i t \sigma \sum_k g_k m_k$ is related to the oscillating behaviour of the MFA while $\Gamma = \sum_k g_k \delta \overline{m}_k$ is real quantity related to the decoherence time. Because of the stability condition on the extremum of $F(m)$, $\Gamma > 0$. If the number of next neighbors of site 0 (for one bit

gate), or sites 0 and 1 (for two bits gate), which are next neighbors each other do not scale with the dimensionality, then $\delta\overline{m}_k$ to first order in $\frac{1}{D}$ is equal to $\delta\overline{m}_k = g_k \left(\frac{1}{4} - m_k^2 \right)$ and Γ becomes

$$\Gamma = \sum_k g_k^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} - m_k^2 \right) \quad (25)$$

As expected Γ is proportional to $\frac{1}{D}$ and vanishes in the limit of infinite dimensionality (MFA limit). It is important to observe that decoherence decreases as magnetization approaches saturation ($m^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$).

In conclusion we studied quantum computation in a spin system at thermal equilibrium in the presence of a spin symmetry spontaneous breaking. With respect to the conventional approach based on out of equilibrium systems two main results seem to be of interest.

a) It is possible to define logical states as suitable thermal averages instead of quantum states.

b) Decoherence, due to the conservative dynamics associated to energy operator which defines statistical equilibrium, can be treated as a perturbation effect with respect to MFA and it is even possible to reduce it at will by increasing the order parameter.

We think that the main results of our work should be valid for other systems which exhibit spontaneous symmetry breaking.

REFERENCES

- [1] For a general introduction to quantum computation see E. Rieffel quantum-ph/9809016 (1998)
- [2] D. Loss, D.P. DiVincenzo, cond-mat/9701055 (1997)
- [3] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J.I. Cirac, C.W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, PRL, 3108, **81**, (1998)
- [4] D. Jaksch, H.J. Briegel, J.I. Cirac, C.W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, PRL, 1975, **82**, (1999)
- [5] G.K. Brennen, C.M. Caves, P.S. Jassen and I.H. Deutsch, PRL, 1060, **82**, (1999)
- [6] It is interesting to note that the order parameter is also given by the angular average of $\cos \theta_i$ with respect to ρ_i
- [7] D.P. DiVincenzo cond-mat/9407022 (1994)
- [8] A. Barenco, et al. quantum-ph/9503016 (1995)
- [9] P. Recher, D. Loss and J. Levy, cond-mat/0009270 (2000)
- [10] A. Georges and J.S Yedidia, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen., 2173, **24**, (1991)